

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,544	12/28/2004	Noriyuki Suzuki	35355/48 2586	
23838 7	590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.		SZEKELY, PETER A		
SUITE 700	21 11.17.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1714	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,544	SUZUKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Szekely	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	December 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/519,544

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Claims 21 and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form. The intended use does not further limit an article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 2, contains improper Markush language. Proper Markush language is "selected from the group consisting of". It has to be used when the last conjunction is "and". Always. Without exception. In claim 8, the number "20" after the period is superfluous.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,544 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 2004/0197561. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application states that the intended use of the particles is blending them with polyamide.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unitika Ltd. JP-8-134345.
- 9. Unitika discloses melt kneading polyamide, polyphenylene ether and swelling fluorine mica in the Abstract. The dimensions of the mica are inherent in the composition. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,544 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 1-9 and 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unitika Ltd. JP-8-134345, in view of Miyoshi et al. 2001/0031831.
- 13. Unitika has been discussed already. Miyoshi et al. teach Polyamide and polyphenylene ether in claim 1, carbon black in claim 11, mica in paragraph 0066, carbon fiber in paragraphs 0067-0068, styrenic resins in paragraphs 0076-0087 and maleic anhydride modified polymers in paragraph 0088. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made, to add the ingredients of Miyoshi et al. to the composition of Unitika, since they are composed of similar ingredients. The dimensions of the mica are standard and as such, obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,544

Art Unit: 1714

14. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kaneka Corporation WO 99/23162, with Suzuki 6,583,208 serving as its English translation, or Suzuki et al. 2004/0024139, in view of Miyoshi et al. 2001/0031831.

Page 5

- 15. Suzuki ('208) reveals swellable mica in column 6, lines 10-33, dimensions in column 3, lines 37-65 and column 4, lines 1-28. Polyethers can be found in column 16, lines 32-35, styrenic polymers and maleic anhydride modified polymers in column 20, lines 55-64 and polyamide in column 21, line 3. Suzuki et al. ('129) divulge swellable mica with its dimensions in paragraphs 0036-0044 and 00650073, polyethers in paragraphs 0053-0056 and styrenic polymers, maleic anhydride modified polymers and polyamide in paragraph 0099. Miyoshi et al. has been discussed already. Applicants' claims are not novel. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to select applicants' polymers from a list of equivalents and to use the carbon black and carbon fibers of Miyoshi et al. as the pigments and reinforcements in the compositions of the primary references, because the respective compositions are similar. Since the certified English translation of the priority documents have not been submitted the effective filing date of the instant application is 7/23/03.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/519,544 Page 6

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 1/31/06